Evaluation of properties of Self-Compacting Concrete specimens having Rice Husk Ash and Shell Lime Powder as fillers
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Abstract: - Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) is the one that can be placed in the form and compacted under its own weight with little or no vibration effects with a suitable bond to handle without segregation or bleeding. The highly fluid nature of SCC makes it suitable for placing in difficult conditions and in sections with congested reinforcement. SCC usually requires high powder content and less coarse aggregates. This study highlights the initial results of a research project aimed at producing and comparing SCC incorporating Rice Husk Ash (RHA) and Shell Lime Powder (SL), both locally available mineral admixtures, as an additional cementing material, in terms of its properties like Compressive strength, Split Tensile strength, and Flexural Strength. The fresh SCC wertested for filling ability (Slump flow), passing ability (L box) and segregation resistance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC) was developed in Japan during the later part of the 1980s to be mainly used for highly congested reinforced structures in seismic regions. The main characteristics of SCC are the properties in the fresh state. The mix design is focused on the ability to flow under its own weight without vibration, the ability to flow through heavily congested reinforcement, and the ability to retain homogeneity without any segregation.

SCC consists of the same materials as of the conventional concrete, i.e., cement, fine aggregates, coarse aggregates, and water. But it also contains additional materials of chemical and mineral admixtures. SCC contains less coarse aggregates so as to minimize the blockage of passing through spaces between steel bars. This results in higher cement content which is expensive and causes temperature rise due to heat of hydration. Therefore, cement should be replaced by high volume of mineral admixture like Rice Husk Ash and Shell Lime Powder.

Rice husk ash (RHA) has been used as a highly reactive pozzolanic material to improve the microstructure of the interfacial transition zone between the cement paste and the aggregate in SCC. Research shows that the utilization of rice husk ash in SCC mix produced desired results, reduced cost, and also provided an environment friendly disposal of the otherwise agro-industry waste product [1].

Before cement was developed, lime was used as a binding material in the casting of lime concrete. This was obtained from naturally occurring limestone deposits in the earth’s crust. The naturally occurring resource is depleting fast and hence for sustainable development it needs to be conserved. Naturally occurring mollusks like shell fish in the oceans have protective shells that contain CaCO₃ or lime. This resource can be tapped, as an alternative for the limestone deposits [2].
II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Cement: Ordinary Portland cement of 43 grade is used in this experiment, Table 1 shows the test results on cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal Consistency</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28-days Compressive Strength</td>
<td>45.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting Time (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.2 Rice Husk Ash (RHA): Rice husk ash is produced by incinerating the husks of rice paddy at a temperature range of 500° to 800°C. It has 90% to 95% of amorphous silica, which is the reason why it has excellent pozzolanic properties. Specific gravity and normal consistency values are 2.13 and 36% respectively. Rice Husk is available in abundance locally in Manipal, the coastal region of Karnataka, India [1].

2.1.3 Shell Lime (SL): Shell Lime powder is obtained by incinerating a combination of shell lime and coal in a furnace. It blends in the mix easily and forms a very good cohesive mix and also acts as a good viscosity modifier for fresh concrete paste. It is obtained from naturally occurring mollusks like shell fish in the oceans which have protective shells that contain CaCO₃. Specific gravity and normal consistency values are 3.09 and 49% respectively. Shell Lime is also locally available in abundance [2].

2.1.4 Aggregates: Gravels were used as coarse aggregates of uniform quality with respect to shape and grading having 12mm downsize. River bed sand of size less than 125 micron were used as fine aggregate [3], Table 2 shows the test results on aggregates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density (kg/m³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Aggregate</td>
<td>1402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Aggregate</td>
<td>1502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.5 Super plasticizer (SP): It is a chemical compound used for increasing the workability of concrete mix without adding additional water. Cera Hyper plasticizer HRW 40 was used in the experiment.

2.1.6 Water: Water should be potable and free from alkalinity.

2.2 Mix Proportioning

The mixture proportion is one of the important aspects in SCC. So far the proper mix design procedure to get the proportion of all the ingredients in the SCC is not standardized. No method specifies the grade of concrete in SCC except the Nan Su method. The limitation of Nan Su method is, that it gives the required mix proportions for the grades which are not less than M50, this was observed during experimental work on normal grade of concrete in SCC (grade less than M50). An attempt has been made to modify the Nan Su method and obtain a mix design in normal grades with two admixtures (Rice Husk Ash & Shell Lime Powder). With all the two mineral admixtures incorporated, the compressive strength and flow properties of the SCC were studied [4], Table 3 shows the contents of all materials used in kg/m³.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>RHA</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>Coarse aggregate</th>
<th>Fine aggregate</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>SP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL based SCC</td>
<td>360.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>147.24</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>221.77</td>
<td>9.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHA based SCC</td>
<td>360.71</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>190.04</td>
<td>8.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3 Tests Conducted

2.3.1 Fresh concrete tests: Rheological properties of the fresh concrete mixes were tested using the Slump flow apparatus, V-funnel, L-box and U-box, as per the EFNARC guidelines [5]. Slump flow test is done to assess the horizontal flow of concrete in the absence of obstructions. This also indicates the resistance to segregation. The higher the flow value, the greater is the ability to fill formwork under its own weight. V-funnel test is conducted to determine the filling ability (flowability) of the concrete with a maximum size of aggregate being 20mm. L-box test assesses the flow of concrete and also the extent to which the concrete is subjected to blocking by reinforcement. U-box test is used to measure the filling ability of SCC.

Table 4 shows the rheological properties of the mixes, against the acceptance criteria of the tests as laid down by EFNARC [5]. The SCC mixes prepared were tested for filling ability (Slump flow and V-funnel) and passing ability (L-box and U-box). The test results satisfied the criteria laid down by EFNARC as seen from Table 4.

![Table 4: SCC Acceptance Criteria & Rheological Properties of the mixes](image)

2.3.2 Hardened concrete tests: Compressive strength (cube size: 150mm side), Split Tensile strength (cylinder size: length 300mm and diameter 150mm), and Flexural strength (prism size: 100x100x500mm) were the tests included for both SCC mixes for a period of 7, 14, and 28 days of curing. The tests were carried out as per the relevant IS Codes [6, 7]. Table 5 shows the hardened concrete test results. Fig. 1 through Fig. 3 show the strength relation between SL based SCC and RHA based SCC in graphical form.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

![Table 5: Test results on hardened SCC mixes](image)
Fig. 1. Compressive Strength v/s Age at loading

Fig. 2. Split Tensile Strength v/s Age at loading
Compressive strength of RHA when compared to SL gave a higher strength by 73.6% for 7 days of curing. For 14 days of curing, the strength of RHA was 41.27% higher than that of SL. For 28 days of curing, the strength of RHA was 6.04% higher than that of SL.

Split Tensile strength of SL when compared to RHA gave a higher strength by 23.98% for 7 days of curing. For 14 days of curing, the strength of SL was 5.2% higher than that of RHA. For 28 days of curing, the strength of RHA was 0.8% higher than that of SL.

Flexural strength of RHA when compared to SL gave a higher strength by 164.8% for 7 days of curing. For 14 days of curing, the strength of SL was 2.88% higher than that of RHA. For 28 days of curing, the strength of SL was 13% higher than that of RHA.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on EFNARC criteria for SCC, fresh and hardened concrete tests were conducted on both the specimens and satisfactory results were obtained. The Compressive strength of curing period of 28 days was found to be 6.04% higher in RHA when compared to SL. Split Tensile strength of RHA when compared to SL was higher by 0.8% for 28 days curing. Flexural strength though was higher by 13% in SL when compared to RHA for 28 days curing; it was observed that RHA had a much better strength when compared to that of SL as a whole.

Since RHA contains silica contents and SL contains calcite contents, the silica contents react better with cement compared to that of calcite contents, as cement contains lime, which in turn consist of calcite contents. Thus, this probably explains the higher strength in RHA when compared to SL.
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