ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To compare the value of ovarian crescent sign (OCS) and various sonomorphologic scoring systems in consolidating pre-operative suspicion of ovarian malignancy in adnexal masses. MATERIALS AND METHODS: A prospective study was carried out in 60 consenting women with an undiagnosed adnexal mass requiring operative intervention. OCS was considered to be present if normal ovarian tissue was seen adjacent to the tumor area on ultrasound. Various other sonological parameters were noted to calculate five morphological scoring systems. Doppler velocimetry study values were available in 27 cases. The results were compared for correctness of suspicion with histopathologic examination report of the tumor obtained at surgery. RESULTS: Eleven of 60 specimens showed histopathologic diagnosis of malignancy. OCS was identified in 97% of the benign masses. The sign was not seen in 10 of the 11 cases with malignancy. Sensitivity and negative predictive value of crescent sign was better than values obtained for the compared sonomorphological indices and Doppler velocimetry studies. CONCLUSION: Ovarian crescent sign is a reliable and simple sonographic indicator comparable to sonomorphological indices and Doppler flow velocimetric studies for the preoperative detection of malignancy in adnexal masses.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the decades various methods to identify the nature of adnexal mass pre-operatively have...
MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was carried out in 60 women with adnexal mass requiring surgery attending gynecology service. Excluded were cases reporting with a proven diagnosis of malignancy. The study was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee.

Ultrasound examination was done by a gynecologist trained in ultrasonography. The various parameters noted were bilaterality (unilateral or bilateral), wall thickness, inner wall structure, locularity, septal thickness (if multilocular), echogenicity, shadowing, tumor volume (\(\pi/6 \times d_1 \times d_2 \times d_3\)), ascites, intra-abdominal metastasis and ovarian crescent.

Doppler flow velocimetric studies in 32 cases were carried out by a designated radiologist. Time averaged maximum velocity (TAMXV), peak systolic velocity (PSV), end diastolic velocity (EDV), pulsatility index (PI) and resistance index (RI) were noted.

Sonomorphological scores were calculated as per the scoring systems described by De Priest et al., Granberg et al., Lerner et al., Sassone et al. [Table 1] and multicenter scoring system [Table 2].

Since all these reports were from one group and from one region, this study was planned to replicate the utility of OCS in other regions and the present communication attempts to validate OCS in comparison with other reported somomorphologic scoring systems and Doppler flow evaluation in suspecting malignant nature of the adnexal mass.
flow velocimetry values were compared with presence or absence of OCS in reference to histopathologic diagnosis of malignancy of the mass.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for Social Sciences; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), version 11. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated. The chi-square test was applied to test the significance of differences between proportions. A probability ($P$) value of $< 0.05$ was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

Eleven of 60 specimens (18.3%) were reported as having malignant disease. Except a case of carcinoid, all others were epithelial malignant tumors. Among 49 of the benign group, epithelial ovarian cystic tumors comprised 42.8% (13 mucinous and 8 serous cystadenomas). Endometriotic lesions were the next common diagnosis (in 10 cases, 20.4%) followed by 6 cases of dermoid, 5 follicular and 2 paratubal cysts. There was a case each of fibrothecoma, ovarian abscess and hydrosalphinx apart from two cases of subserous fibroid.

The mean age of cases studied was $41.4 \pm 14.5$ years with the youngest aged 19 and the eldest, 78 years. Although not significant ($P = 0.366$), the proportion of cases with malignancy was higher in women beyond 50 years of age.

There were 41 cases who were premenopausal and the proportion of malignant adnexal masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Ultrasound Scores</th>
<th>Cyst wall</th>
<th>Volume (cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Septum</th>
<th>Locularity</th>
<th>Wall structure</th>
<th>Shadowing</th>
<th>Septa</th>
<th>Inner wall structure</th>
<th>Echogenicity</th>
<th>Cut-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>De Priest[2]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Smooth, $&lt;$3mm thick</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Unilocular</td>
<td>Smooth or irregularities $&lt;$3mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>None or thin ($&lt;$3mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granberg[6]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Smooth, $&gt;$3mm thick</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Unilocular</td>
<td>Smooth or irregularities $&gt;$3mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thick ($&gt;$3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lerner[5]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Papillary projection, $&lt;$3mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thin, $&lt;$3mm</td>
<td>Unilocular</td>
<td>Solid or not applicable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sassone[6]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Papillary projection, 200-500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thick, $&gt;$3mm</td>
<td>Multilocular</td>
<td>Solid or not applicable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Papillary projection, 50-200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thin, $&gt;$3mm</td>
<td>Unilocular</td>
<td>Solid or not applicable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thick ($&gt;$3mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Papillary projection, 500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thick, $&gt;$3mm</td>
<td>Multilocular</td>
<td>Solid or not applicable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low with echogenic core; mixed echogenicity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was similar in pre- and post-menopausal groups (6 of 35 and 5 of 19 cases, \(P=0.277\)).

At ultrasonography, OCS could be identified in 65% of cases (39 of 60) scanned. The visualization rate was significantly lower in postmenopausal than premenopausal women with benign adnexal masses (OCS seen in 29 pre- and 9 postmenopausal; OCS absent in 6 pre- and 5 postmenopausal; \(P = 0.023\)).

The OCS was not seen in 10 of the 11 cases with malignancy. The lone case, in whom it was positive, was a stage 2 serous cystadenocarcinoma of borderline malignancy. The ovary was grossly normal looking. OCS was not visualized in 11 benign cases (four cases of large mucinous cystadenomas, two chocolate cysts, one case each of ovarian abscess, fibroid, ovarian fibrothecoma, cystic teratoma, and a multilocular papillary serous cystadenoma). Crescent sign was thus found to have high sensitivity (90.9%) and high negative predictive value (97.4%) in prejudging the adnexal masses.

In comparison, the studied sonomorphological scoring systems were either sensitive or specific and did not appear to be better than OCS. Among them, Lerner’s was very sensitive (100%), while Sassone scoring system was more specific (93.9%). Scoring system proposed following a multicenter study was more balanced (sensitivity 81.8% and specificity 81.6%) [Table 3].

Thirty-two cases were subjected to Doppler velocimetry studies, of which five did not show any vascularity. These cases were excluded from the analysis. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values for OCS were calculated for the 27 cases who had Doppler evaluation. Establishing cut-off level for end diastolic velocity was out of the scope of this study and there was a large overlap between the values in the benign and malignant lesions (range in benign lesions 2.2 to 20 cm/s; in malignant lesions 3.3 to 26 cm/s). Among the Doppler parameters, peak systolic velocity (PSV) had a higher sensitivity compared to the rest, though specificity (36.8%) was very much lower [Table 4].

**DISCUSSION**

Since the report by Hillaby et al.\cite{12} that the presence of normal ovarian tissue adjacent to an ovarian cyst is a useful morphological feature in the pre-operative differential diagnosis of adnexal lesions, they have further explored its utility and efficacy.\cite{13,14} OCS was found to be a better discriminator of malignancy in adnexal mass than tumor morphology (tumor volume and papillary proliferations), Doppler indices (PI and TAMXV) and CA 125 estimations leading to the conclusion that presence of OCS with a

---

**Table 2: Scoring system used for multicenter study\cite{3}**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Septa</th>
<th>Vegetations</th>
<th>Echogenicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \leq 3) mm</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Sonolucent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt; 3 mm</td>
<td>( \leq 3) mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low echogenicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Irregular, mostly solid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>( \leq 3) mm</td>
<td>With echogenic areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Irregular, not applicable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 3 mm</td>
<td>With heterogeneous echogenic areas, solid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cut-off value 9
The present study too obtained similar results of high sensitivity (90.9%) and high negative predictive value (97.4%) for OCS. In cases where Doppler parameters were available, OCS was found to be more sensitive, specific and predictive. Other studies have obtained comparatively higher specificity of 79-91 and 76-89% but poorer sensitivity of 63-78 and 58-61%, for TMAXV and PI, respectively, than our results. All said, these sophisticated evaluations have not helped much. And OCS seems a better tool in this respect.

OCS had compared favorably with risk of malignancy index (RMI) in identifying invasive and noninvasive tumors. Although RMI system divides mass into unilocular and complex on ultrasound appearance which can be identified with minimal ultrasound skills, waiting for CA 125 values and different versions of RMI s giving different importance to menopausal status and ultrasound parameters do not make RMI that simple. The authors also found that OCS as discriminator for adnexal masses was more sensitive than different versions of RMI. Since specificity was comparatively lower, it was opined that OCS has equal utility if not better than RMI. It was also found that combining OCS with RMI did not have added benefit in the pre-operative diagnosis.

Though not adopted widely in routine clinical practice, to improve the accuracy of ultrasound diagnosis a number of morphological scoring systems incorporating systematic examination of a number of different tumor features on ultrasound scan have been designed. Assessment of tumor morphology by experienced operators has been considered superior to complex diagnostic models such as logistic regression and artificial neural networks devised to improve ultrasound diagnosis of ovarian cancer.

These reported ultrasound studies using
descriptive parameters, or the ones developed as scoring systems, use meticulous analysis of minute details of tumor architecture and/or complex ultrasound tests such as Doppler assessment of intra-ovarian blood flow which require a trained sonologist.

In the small group of 60 cases studied, some interesting observations were made that need to be explored. Malignant ovarian tumors were finding prevalence in younger women. The mean age of the cases admitted with adnexal mass in the present study was 41.4 ± 14.5 years (range 19-78 years), comparable to the group studied by Alcazar et al. However, women with malignant adnexal mass were younger by a decade when compared to the report by Hillaby et al.

The detectability of ovarian crescent was difficult in menopausal women probably attributable to the lesser ovarian volume in them. The relative ovarian volume in pre- and postmenopausal women has not been studied. Probability of failure to identify normal ovarian tissue in large ovarian lesions although benign as in four cases of mucinous cystadenomas should also be kept in mind. In them ovarian tissue may be compressed and pushed laterally or thinned out and spread. Ovarian crescent could be identified even if mass is malignant when destruction of ovarian tissue is not extensive as in one case of stage 2 borderline tumor whose ovary was grossly normal looking. Finding OCS in 75% of serous borderline tumors and none in invasive group, Yazbek et al. opined that it is practical to use OCS for excluding invasive cancer. It is said that OCS is always easily detectable in endometriotic cysts in two of the ten cases we missed the finding. In both these cases chocolate cysts were within the ovary and ovarian tissue was destroyed.

While ultrasound recording by one gynecologist trained in ultrasound who was not involved with the study can be taken as a strong point of the communication, inability to get Doppler studies done for all the cases and unavailability of data regarding ovarian volumes in pre- and postmenopausal women without adnexal mass remain the weaknesses. But still, by virtue of being very simple and not requiring expertise in gynecologic ultrasonography, OCS is a handy parameter to look for and it has the potential to develop as a tool in triaging adnexal masses for referral to specialty centers. It can be concluded that absence of ovarian crescent sign in a case with adnexal mass is a sensitive marker for malignancy with a dependable specificity.
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